

# EXHIBIT C

**Litigation Support Experience - Tal Lavian, Ph.D.**<https://TelecommNet.com>[tlavian@telecommnet.com](mailto:tlavian@telecommnet.com)

(408) 209-9112

	Network Communications
Law Firm:	<b>Cozen O'Connor</b>
Case Name:	<b>TCL Communication Technology Holding</b>
Patent No:	US 6,058,304 and US 7,139,591
Service Provided:	IPR declarations, deposition
Type of Matter:	Wireless telephony, handheld wireless device architecture
Date:	March 2020
	Network communications
Law Firm:	<b>Oblon (PTAB) and Pepper Hamilton (Federal)</b>
Case Name:	<b>Polycom, Inc. v. directPacket Research, Inc.</b>
Patent No:	US 7,710,978, US 7,773,588, and US 8,560,828
Service Provided:	Six PTAB IPR declarations, multiple depositions Expert reports for federal court, a deposition
Type of Matter:	Firewall and secured multimedia communications
Date:	June 2019
	Wireless Communications
Law Firm:	<b>Knobbe Martens, and ROBIC LLP</b>
Case Name:	Guest Tek v. <b>Nomadix</b> - Case No. T-448-17 Federal Court in Canada
Patent No:	CA 600,760, CA 2,750,345, CA 2 790 354, and 2 817 932. US8811184, US9154435, US9531640, US9871738
Service Provided:	Investigation, expert reports, testimony in a Canadian Court
Type of Matter:	Wireless and communications access control
Date:	June 2019
	Mobile devices
Law Firm:	<b>Klarquist Sparkman LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>Microsoft V. Uniloc USA, IPR</b>
Patent No:	US8,724,622
Service Provided:	IPR expert report
Type of Matter:	IPR expert report (very similar to Apple, Facebook WhatsApp expert reports)
Date:	2019
	Network Security, Firewall
Law Firm:	<b>Unified Patents</b>
Case Name:	<b>Unified Patents v. FireNet Technologies LLC, IPR</b>
Patent No:	US 8,892,600
Service Provided:	IPR expert report
Type of Matter:	Access and security of data networking attached devices, Network Firewall, Proxy Server
Date:	2019

	Network communications
Law Firm:	<b>Novack and Macey LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>Guaranteed Rate, Inc. v. Netrix</b>
Patent No:	MPLS and broadband architecture
Service Provided:	Expert report, deposition
Type of Matter:	MPLS and broadband architectures using Cisco equipment
Date:	2019
	Network communications
Law Firm:	<b>Gibson, Dunn &amp; Crutcher LLP</b>
Case Name:	Citrix Systems, Inc. v. <b>Avi Networks, Inc.</b> , No. 17-1843-LPS (D. Delaware)
Patent No:	US 9,148,493, US 8,631,120
Service Provided:	Expert declarations for PTAB IPR
Type of Matter:	Declaration for Claim construction brief, depositions
Date:	2019
	Mobile devices
Law Firm:	<b>Erise IP P.A.</b>
Case Name:	<b>Apple Inc. v. Voip-Pal.com, Inc.</b> ; Inter Partes Review
Patent No:	US 9,537,762; US 9,813,330; US 9,826,002; and US 9,948,549
Service Provided:	Expert declarations
Type of Matter:	VoIP and network communications
Date:	2019
	Web Application and Database
Law Firm:	<b>Fish &amp; Richardson P.C.</b>
Case Name:	<b>Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc.</b>
Patent No:	US7,548,867, US7,640,178
Service Provided:	IPR Expert reports, deposition
Type of Matter:	Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances
Date:	2017-2018
	Wireless
Law Firm:	<b>The WEBB Law Firm</b>
Case Name:	<b>Amazon Inc v. Hera Wireless</b>
Patent No:	US7,454,234, US7,369,878, and US7,873,389
Service Provided:	Research, analysis and drafting expert report (not filed)
Type of Matter:	Wireless technologies, Wi-Fi 802.11 a/b/n, MIMO
Date:	2018
	Network communications
Law Firm:	<b>Mei &amp; Mark LLP ( / Devlin Law Firm LLC)</b>
Case Name:	<b>ATEN International Co., LTD v. Uniclass Technology Co., LTD</b>
Patent No:	US6,957,287, US7,472,217, US7,640,289 and US8,589,141

Service Provided:	Several infringement expert reports. Testified in depositions. Testified in front of a judge and jury.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to KVM switch architectures
Date:	2016-2017
	Mobile devices
Law Firm:	<b>Stern Kessler Goldstein Fox</b>
Case Name:	<b>Apple</b> V. Uniloc USA, IPR2017-01804
Patent No:	US8,724,622
Service Provided:	IPR expert report
Type of Matter:	IPR expert report (the same as for Facebook WhatsApp)
Date:	2017
	TCP/IP, Internet Protocols
Law Firm:	<b>Wolf Greenfield LLP</b>
Case Name:	IPR for <b>Huawei</b> and <b>RPX</b>
Patent No:	US6,738,378 & US6,252,848
Service Provided:	IPR expert report
Type of Matter:	Patents in network communications
Date:	2017
	Wireless Mobile Devices
Law Firm:	<b>Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &amp;Dunner, LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>LG</b> and <b>Huawei</b> V. Intellectual Venture
Patent No:	US8,994,433
Service Provided:	IPR expert report
Type of Matter:	IPR expert report (the same as for Facebook WhatsApp)
Date:	2017
	Mobile wireless
Law Firm:	<b>Perkins Coie LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>T-Mobile</b> v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P.
Patent No:	US8,559,369 and US9,042,306
Service Provided:	Expert for T-Mobile in a single IPR for these two patents
Type of Matter:	Wi-Fi Tethering in broadband cellular data
Date:	2017
	Mobile wireless, cellular systems
Law Firm:	<b>Cooley, LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>Facebook, Inc., Instagram</b> LLC v. Skky, LLC
Patent No:	US8,892,465, US9,118,693, US9,124,717, US9,124,718, US9,203,870, US9,203,956, US9,215,310 and US 9,219,810, Further IPRs for Patent Nos. US8,892,465, US9,118,693, US9,124,717 and US9,124,718
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant Facebook, IPR and CBM expert reports, Testified in depositions
Type of Matter:	Patents related to cellular systems using OFDM
Date:	2017
	Mobile wireless, Unified Communications
Law Firm:	<b>Cooley, LLP</b>

Case Name:	<b>Facebook, WhatsApp</b> . V. Uniloc USA, Inc.
Patent No:	US8,199,747, US8,995,433, US8,243,723, and US 7,535,890 IPR2017-01524, IPR2017-01365, IPR2017-01523
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant Facebook. Wrote IPR expert reports. Testified in depositions.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to VoIP, Cellphone Short Messages, Chat app
Date:	2016
	Unified communications
Law Firm:	<b>Akerman LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>YMax</b> Corporation v. Focal IP, LLC
Patent No:	US7,764,777, US8,155,298, US8,724,622 and US 8,457,113
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner, YMax corp. Wrote three IPR expert reports for the PTAB. Testified in depositions.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to telecommunicators architecture, PSTN, and VoIP
Date:	2016
	Mobile devices, wireless network, cellular systems
Law Firm:	<b>Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &amp; Dunner, LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>FedEx</b> (RPX) v. Intellectual Ventures IPR2017-00729, IPR2017-00741 Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. v. <b>FedEx</b> Corp.
Patent No:	US6,633,900 and US8,494,581
Service Provided:	Wrote two IPR expert reports. Testified in depositions
Type of Matter:	Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks.
Date:	2016 - 2017
	Routing Switching
Law Firm:	<b>Warner Norcross &amp; Judd</b>
Case Name:	Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation
Patent No:	US6,016,307, US6,473,404, US6,144,641, US8,400,926 and US9,036,499
Service Provided:	Expert for the defendant. Wrote a claim construction declaration.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to multi-protocol telecommunications routing optimization
Date:	2016
	Mobile wireless (SMS on WhatsApp)
Law Firm:	<b>Cooley, LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>Facebook</b> IPR PTAB v. Windy City

Patent No:	US8,458,245, US8,407,356, US8,473,552 and US8,694,657 (IPR2016-01157, IPR2016-01158, IPR2016-01159, IPR2016-01156)
Service Provided:	Expert for petitioner Facebook, Inc., wrote a IPR expert report for the PTAB. Testified in depositions.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to network communications
Date:	2016
	Network communications
Law Firm:	<b>Winston &amp; Strawn</b>
Case Name:	<b>RPX</b> IPR PTAB filing
Patent No:	US8,788,090
Service Provided:	Expert for petitioner RPX, wrote an IPR expert report for the PTAB
Type of Matter:	Patents related to network communications
Date:	2016
	Network Management
Law Firm:	<b>Cooley, LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>ServiceNow</b> , Inc. v. BMC Software, Inc.
Patent No:	USPTO PTAB IPR Cases: IPR2015-01555; IPR2015-01211; IPR2015-01631; IPR2015-01601. CBM Case CBM2015-00170 ,2:14-CV-00903 US8,646,093; US8,646,093 (CBM as well), US7,617,073; US8,674,992; US6,816,898
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner, ServiceNow, Inc., wrote IPR and CBM expert reports for PTAB, wrote expert reports for claim constructions. Testified in depositions.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to network management
Date:	2015
	Internet protocols, routing and switching
Law Firm:	<b>Cooley, LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>ServiceNow</b> , Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Company
Patent No:	USPTO PTAB IPR Cases IPR2015-00707; IPR2015-00716; IPR2015-00631; IPR2015-00717; IPR2015-00707. CBM Cases CBM2015-00108; CBM2015-00077 US7,925,981; US7,945,860; US7,392,300; US7,027,411; US7,925,981, US7,945,860 (CBM); US7,925,981 (CBM)
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner ServiceNow, Inc., wrote IPR and CBM expert reports for the PTAB Cases IPR2015-00631, IPR2015-00717. Testified in depositions.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to Web services and Internet technologies
Date:	2015
	Internet Protocols, TCP/IP , Network Management, SNMP

Law Firm:	<b>Holland &amp; Knight, LLP</b>
Case Name:	SNMP Research International, Inc., et al. v. <b>Avaya</b> , Inc.
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant Avaya, Inc., wrote expert reports. Testified in a deposition.
Type of Matter:	Network management for IP-PBX
Date:	2015
	Routing Switching, Internet Protocols
Law Firm:	<b>Perkins Coie, LLP</b>
Case Name:	Qurio Holdings v. <b>DIRECTV</b> Case No. 15-cv-01986-HSG (N.D. CA), and 3 IPR petitions IPR2015-02005, IPR2015-02006, IPR2015-02007
Patent No:	US7,787,904, US8,102,863 and US8,879,567
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner DIRECTV, wrote three IPR expert reports
Type of Matter:	Mobile device control, WAN to wireless LAN gateway, wireless LAN gateway
Date:	2015
	Mobile wireless (handset mobile devices)
Law Firm:	<b>Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &amp; Dunner, LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>FedEx</b> Corporation and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc., Petitioner v. Loramax LLC, Patent Owner
Patent No:	US5,689,642 and US5,513,126
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner FedEx Corporation, wrote an IPR expert report. (Plaintiff dismissed the case without IPR filed)
Type of Matter:	Improving communication between devices or stations
Date:	2015
	Mobile and Wireless, Cellular System,
Law Firm:	<b>McGuire Woods, LLP</b>
Case Name:	Expert for <b>GT&amp;T</b>
Service Provided:	Expert for a cellular telecommunications company
Type of Matter:	Analysis of cellular network and phone systems.
Date:	2015
	Network Security
Law Firm:	<b>Wolf Greenfield, LLP</b>
Case Name:	MD Security Solutions, LLC v. <b>RPX</b>
Patent No:	US7,864,983
Service Provided:	Expert for IPR petitioner RPX, wrote an IPR expert report
Type of Matter:	Network communications for alarm systems
Date:	2015
	Mobile devices
Law Firm:	<b>Simpson Thacher &amp; Bartlett, LLP</b>
Case Name:	IXI Mobile v. Apple Inc. 14-cv-7954 SDNY
Patent No:	US7,295,532, US7,426,398, US7,016,648, and US 7,039,033

Service Provided:	Searched for prior art, prepared invalidity claim charts
Type of Matter:	Invalidity claim charts related to iPhone, iPad, and others, Apple's mobile wireless products and technologies
Date:	2015
	Network communications
Law Firm:	<b>Hovey Williams, LLP</b>
Case Name:	USPTO Office Action App. 13/432.775 ( <b>NetAirus</b> )
Patent No:	Patent App. 13/432.775
Service Provided:	Wrote a short declaration for an office action
Type of Matter:	Desktop computer configuring the system
Date:	2015
	Routing and switching, TCP/IP, Internet Protocols
Law Firm:	<b>Kirkland &amp; Ellis, LLP</b>
Case Name:	Spherix v. <b>Cisco Systems</b> 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.)
Patent No:	US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222,848, US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US 7,397,763, US 7,664,123, US 7,385,998, US 8,607,323 US 6,879,594, US 6,661, 788, US 6,233,245, US 6,684,241, US 6,661, 788, US 6,879,594
Service Provided:	Searched for prior art, invalidity claim charts, and investigation
Type of Matter:	Network communication, routing, and switching technologies
Date:	2014
	Routing switching, network communications, TCP/IP
Law Firm:	<b>Desmarais, LLP</b>
Case Name:	Bockstar Technologies, LLC v. <b>Cisco Systems</b> , Inc. Case No. 1: 13-cv-2020-SLR (D. Del.)
Patent No:	US 5,732,080, US 6,069,895, US 6,233,245, US 6,636,508, US 6,684,241, US 6,778,653
Service Provided:	Research and analysis of the prior art
Type of Matter:	Network communication, routing, and switching technologies
Date:	2014
	Routing switching, Network Communications, Internet Protocols, TCP/IP
Law Firm:	<b>Armstrong Teasdale, LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>Cisco Systems</b> , Inc. - USPTO Inter Parties Review (IPR) (the petition was not filed)
Patent No:	US 5,583,862
Service Provided:	Expert for petitioner Cisco Systems, Inc., wrote invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB
Type of Matter:	Patent-related to telecommunications, routing and switching technologies
Date:	2014



	Network communications
Law Firm:	<b>Fish Richardson, LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>Mobotix - Inter Partes Review IPR2015-00093</b>
Patent No:	US 6,975,220
Service Provided:	Expert for petitioner Mobotix, wrote invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB
Type of Matter:	USPTO Inter Partes Review (IPR), patent related to network architecture
Date:	2014
	Routing switching
Law Firm:	<b>Faegre Baker Daniels, LLP</b>
Case Name:	Brilliant Optical Solutions, LLC v. Comcast District of Colorado, Case no. 1:13-CV-00886-REB-KMT and USPTO Inter Partes Review (IPR)
Patent No:	US 5,555,478
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner Comcast, wrote invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB IPR (Plaintiff dismissed the case without IPR filed)
Type of Matter:	Patent-related to routers' switching fabric architecture
Date:	2014
	Routing Switching, Network Communications,
Law Firm:	<b>Irell &amp; Manella, LLP, CA</b>
Case Name:	Brixham Solutions, LTD, vs. <b>Juniper Networks</b> 3:13-cv-00616-JCS (N.D. CA) and USPTO IPR 2014-00425 and IPR 2014-00431
Patent No:	US7,940,652 and US7,535,895
Service Provided:	Wrote two invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB. Testified in depositions.
Type of Matter:	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner Juniper Networks, patent related to routers' switching fabric architecture and a patent related to Ethernet pseudo-wire VPN
Date:	2014
	Mobile Systems, Cellular Networks, an ITC Case
Law Firm:	<b>McKool Smith (Dallas, TX)</b>
Case Name:	<b>Ericsson</b> v. Samsung (ITC) ITC Investigation NO. 337-TA-862
Patent No:	US6,772,215, US6,519,223
Service Provided:	Wrote several expert reports. Testified in depositions. Testified in the ITC court.
Type of Matter:	Patent-related to cellular systems, CDMA, GSM, UMTS, LTE, and wireless network communications
Date:	2013
	Routing Switching, Network Communications
Law Firm:	<b>Keker&amp; Van Nest, LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>Comcast Cable</b> Communications, LLC et al. v. BT Americas, Inc.

	3:12-cv-01712-M (N.D. Tex.)
Patent No:	US7,142,508, US5,638,516
Service Provided:	Analysis, claim terms, and Markman claim construction
Type of Matter:	MPLS technology
Date:	2013
	Unified communications, streaming video and VoIP
Law Firm:	<b>Williams, LLP (KS)</b>
Case Name:	<b>NetAirus</b> Systems LLC v. Kappos USPTO 1:12-cv-00709-AJT-JFA, 1:12-cv-01207-AJT-TRJ
Patent No:	US5,280,583, US5,589,849, US2005/0110780 A1
Service Provided:	Wrote a declaration regarding claim amendment
Type of Matter:	Video conference application program
Date:	2013
	Wireless Networking
Law Firm:	<b>Slater&amp;Matsil, LLP (Dallas, TX)</b>
Case Name:	e-Watch, Inc. v. Mobotix Corp. USPTO Reexamination
Patent No:	US6,970,183
Service Provided:	Expert for petitioner Mobotix Corp. Wrote an invalidity declaration - USPTO IPR2013-00255
Type of Matter:	A wireless monitoring system, wire-line networking
Date:	2013
	Network Communications
Law Firm:	<b>Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL)</b>
Case Name:	<b>Teradici's</b> - USPTO Re-examination
Patent No:	US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205
Service Provided:	Wrote an invalidity expert report
Type of Matter:	Patents related to network communications remote KVM
Date:	2012
	Network Communications
Law Firm:	<b>Morgan, Lewis &amp; Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA)</b>
Case Name:	Wassink v. <b>ACS</b> (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.) 8:11-cv-00554-CJC-MLG (C.D. CA)
Service Provided:	Wrote an expert report
Service Provided:	Cisco certification of IT personnel
Date:	2012
	Mobile Wireless
Law Firm:	<b>Kenyon&amp; Kenyon, LLP</b>
Case Name:	HumanEyes Technologies, LTD v. Sony Electronics (ITC) 1:12-cv-00398 (D. Del.)
Service Provided:	Investigation of patents and related technologies
Type of Matter:	Software related to mobile devices including panoramic imaging
Date:	2012

	Network Communications Protocols
Law Firm:	<b>Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher &amp; Flom LLP (New York, NY)</b>
Case Name:	Realtime Data v. <b>Morgan Stanley</b> et al 1:11-cv-6696,-6701,-6704 (S.D. N.Y.)
Service Provided:	Wrote a rebuttal to an infringement report, was deposed
Type of Matter:	Technology related to network communication protocol
Date:	2012
	Network Security, VPN, SSL
Law Firm:	<b>Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &amp; Dunner, LLP</b>
Case Name:	Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. v. <b>VanguardBank</b> and PNC Bank
Patent No:	US5,940,510, US5,949,880, US6,105,013, US6,237,095
Service Provided:	Investigation of prior art
Type of Matter:	Patents litigation related to virtual private networks (VPN) and secure service layer (SSL)
Date:	2012
	Mobile wireless, Cellular systems
Law Firm:	<b>Foley &amp; Lardner, LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>M2M Solutions</b> , LLC v. Sierra Wireless, CA No. 12-030-RGA (D. Del.)
Patent No:	US7,583.197, US8,094,010
Service Provided:	Investigation of the prior art, claim charts
Type of Matter:	Patents related to wireless and mobile devices in cellular systems
Date:	2012
	e-Commerce, Internet and Web Technologies
Law Firm:	<b>Goldstein &amp; Lipski, PLLC (Houston, TX)</b>
Case Name:	<b>Site Update Solutions</b> , LLC v. Accor North America, Inc. et al. 4:11-CV-03306-PJH (N.D. CA), lpr2016-01235, Cbs Corp., 15-1448, 13-1458, 5:11cv3306
Patent No:	US RE40.683, US 6,253,198
Service Provided:	A declaration
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and e-commerce
Date:	2012
	Internet and Web Technologies
Law Firm:	<b>Goldstein &amp; Lipski, PLLC (Houston, TX)</b>
Case Name:	<b>EIT Holdings LLC V. Netflix and Barnes &amp; Noble Inc.</b>
Patent No:	5:11-CV-02466; 2012 WL 728387, 1:10-CV-01081, 2011 WL 7149251, 2011 WL 7149248
Service Provided:	Expert report
Type of Matter:	Patent-related to Internet and Web technologies
Date:	2012
	Bandwidth and network access

Law Firm:	<b>Russ, August &amp; Kabat (Los Angeles, CA)</b>
Case Name:	<b>Proxyconn</b> , Inc. v. Microsoft et al. 8:11-cv-1681-DOC-AN (C.D. Cal)
Patent No:	US6,757,717, US6,370,646
Service Provided:	Investigation of technology, was deposed
Type of Matter:	Speed data accessing in communication networks
Date:	2012
	Network communications
Law Firm:	<b>Greenberg Traurig, LLP</b>
Case Name:	Walker Digital v. <b>Google</b> 1:11-cv-00318-LPS (D. Del)
Patent No:	US5,884,272, US5,884,270, US5,824,082, US 5,136,501, US5,832,497
Service Provided:	Invalidity analysis, investigation of patents and related technologies
Type of Matter:	Internet and e-commerce technologies
Date:	2012
	Bandwidth Allocation
Law Firm:	<b>Hosie Rice, LLP (San Francisco, CA)</b>
Case Name:	<b>BackWeb</b> v. HP and IBM 4:10-cv-04311-PJH
Service Provided:	Investigated patents and related technologies
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to network communication bandwidth allocation
Date:	2012
	Mobile wireless and SMS, streaming video in Cellular systems
Law Firm:	<b>Hogan Lovells US, LLP</b>
Case Name:	<b>High Point SARL</b> v. Sprint Nextel 2:09-CV-2269 (Kansas City, KN)
Patent No:	US5,884,272, US5,884,270, US5,824,082, US5,136,501, US5,832,497
Service Provided:	Search for invalidity prior art
Type of Matter:	Patents related to mobile wireless and cellular systems
Date:	2011
	Network Communications, Internet Protocol, TCP/IP, SMS, Streaming Video
Law Firm:	<b>Greenberg Traurig, LLP</b>
Case Name:	Emblaze v. <b>Apple</b> 1:10-cv-5713 -PKC (S.D.N.Y.)
Patent No:	US6,389,473, US5,841,432, US5,751,968, US6,138,147, US5,610,841, US6,397,230, US5,579,239, US5,928,330, US5,987,510, US6,014,694, US6,151,632, US5,864,682, US5,659,539
Service Provided:	Investigation of patents, invalidity analysis
Type of Matter:	Computer network protocol for multimedia application
Date:	2011
	Mobile wireless

Law Firm:	<b>Ropes &amp; Gray, LLP (New York, NY)</b>
Case Name:	<b>Motorola Mobility</b> , Inc. v. Microsoft 1:10-cv-24063-MORENO (S.D. FL)
Patent No:	US7,024,214, US7,493,130, US7,725,584, US6,219,694, US5,406,643, US7,275,116
Service Provided:	Wrote an invalidity expert report, wrote a response to an infringement report. Testified in depositions 7/21/2011
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to wireless and mobile devices including smartphones and tablets
Date:	2011
	Network Communications
Law Firm:	<b>Goldstein &amp; Lipski, PLLC (Houston, TX)</b>
Case Name:	<b>EIT</b> v. YELP! Inc. 3:10-cv-05623-WHA (N.D. CA)
Patent No:	US5,828,837, US6,249,868, US6,594,765, US6,081,786, US6,188,988, US7,298,271, USRE40,683, US8,060,504
Service Provided:	Wrote an expert report
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to Internet and Web technologies
Date:	2011
	Network Communications
Law Firm:	<b>Hosie Rice, LLP (San Francisco, CA)</b>
Case Name:	<b>Software Research</b> , Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA)
Patent No:	US7,231,606, US7,757,175
Service Provided:	Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and e-commerce
Date:	2011
	Network Communications, Unified Communications
Law Firm:	<b>David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA)</b>
Case Name:	Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG
Service Provided:	Testified in depositions. Testified in front of an arbitrator
Type of Matter:	Network communications infrastructure
Date:	2011
	Network communications protocols, TCP/IP, Internet Protocols
Law Firm:	<b>Silicon Edge Law Group, LLP</b>
Case Name:	HP v. <b>Alacritech</b> USPTO Interference No. 105,775
Patent No.	US6,246,683, US6,226,680, US6,697,868
Service Provided:	Wrote an expert report, an expert declaration, a response expert report, a reply expert report. Testified twice in depositions.
Type of Matter:	USPTO Interference:

	Patents related to network communications protocol
Date:	2011
	Routing and Switching
Law Firm:	<b>Durie Tangri, LLP (Palo Alto, CA)</b>
Case Name:	Fortinet v. <b>Palo Alto Networks</b> 5:09-cv-00036-RMW (N.D.CA)
Patent No:	US7,519,990, US1,111,111, US7,376,125, US7,177,311, US6,930,978
Service Provided:	Wrote an invalidity expert report. Testified in depositions.
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to network communications filtering
Date:	2010
	Data Communications, Routing switching
Law Firm:	<b>Hausfeld, LLP (San Francisco, CA)</b>
Case Name:	<b>Backweb</b> Technologies v. Microsoft 4:09-cv-01224-CW (N.D.CA)
Patent No:	US6,374,289, US6,493,758, US6,539,429, US5,913,040, US6,317,789, US6,539,429, US7,512,943, US7,139,815, US7,216,180, US6,920,110, US7,325,068
Service Provided:	Wrote an expert report
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to network communication bandwidth allocation
Date:	2010
	Network Communications, Internet Protocols
Law Firm:	<b>August &amp; Kabat (Los Angeles, CA)</b>
Case Name:	<b>Linksmart</b> Wireless v. Cisco Systems, T-Mobile 4:09-cv-01224-CW (N.D.CA), 2:08-cv-00385, 2:09-cv-00026
Patent No:	US6,779,118
Service Provided:	Wrote an expert report. Testified in a deposition.
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to network communication dynamically redirecting and filtering Internet traffic
Date:	2010
	iPhone email system
Law Firm:	<b>Law Offices of Rod Firoozye (Palo Alto, CA)</b>
Case Name:	Zand v. Armin 1-09-FL-149015
Service Provided:	Wrote an expert report. Testified in a deposition. Testified in court
Type of Matter:	Email origination in iPhone and laptop
Date:	2010
	Video Teleconferencing, Video streaming
Law Firm:	<b>The Simon Law Firm, P.C. (St. Louis, MO)</b>
Case Name:	<b>Teleconference</b> System v. Tandberg 3:10-cv-01325-JSW (N.D. CA)
Patent No:	US6,980,526
Service Provided:	Investigated patent and related technologies

Type of Matter:	Network protocols in the teleconference system
Date:	2010
	Routing switching
Law Firm:	<b>Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &amp;Dunner, LLP</b>
Case Name:	F5 Networks v. A10 Networks 2:10-cv-00654-MJP (W.D. WASH)
Patent No:	US7,102,996, US7,395,349, US6,311,278
Service Provided:	Invalidity investigation, a search of prior art
Type of Matter:	Patents related to network communications firewall and load balancing
Date:	2010
	Internet Web technologies
Law Firm:	<b>Niro Scavone Haller &amp; Niro</b>
Case Name:	<b>IP Innovation</b> v. Google 2:07-cv-503-RRR (E.D.TX)
Patent No:	US5,276,785, US5,675,819
Service Provided:	Wrote an infringement expert report and a rebuttal expert report
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to Internet and Web technologies
Date:	2009
	Routing, switching, VoIP and video streaming
Law Firm:	<b>Niro Scavone Haller &amp;Niro</b>
Case Name:	<b>Trace Wilco</b> , Inc. v. Cisco 5:09-cv-000810-SI
Patent No:	US6,158,011, US6,061,796, US7,325,063, US5,796,948
Service Provided:	Investigated patents and related technologies
Type of Matter:	Network communications protocols
Date:	2009